Hans Zeisel David Kaye

Prove It with Figures

Empirical Methods in Law and Litigation

With a Foreword by Jack B. Weinstein



Hans Zeisel (deceased)

David Kaye College of Law Arizona State University Tempe, AZ 85287 USA

Advisors

Stephen Fienberg
Department of Statistics
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

John Rolph
Graduate School of Business
Department of Information and
Operations Management
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089

Denise Lievesley ESRC Data Archive University of Essex Colchester, Essex CO4 3SQ United Kingdom

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Zeisel, Hans.

Prove it with figures: empirical methods in law and litigation / Hans Zeisel, David Kaye with a foreword by Jack B. Weinstein.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-4612-7300-4 ISBN 978-1-4612-1824-1 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-1-4612-1824-1

1. Law – United States – Statistical methods. 2. Law – United States – Methodology. 3. Social sciences – Research – Law and legislation – United States. 1. Kaye, D.H. (David H.), 1947–

II. Title. KF320.S73Z45 1997 349.73'07'27 - dc21

97-9827

Printed on acid-free paper.

© 1997 Springer Science+Business Media New York Originally published by Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. in 1997 Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 1997

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, LLC), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use of general descriptive names, trade names, trademarks, etc., in this publication, even if the former are not especially identified, is not to be taken as a sign that such names, as understood by the Trade Marks and Merchandise Marks Act, may accordingly be used freely by anyone.

Production managed by Victoria Evarretta; manufacturing supervised by Jeffrey Taub. Photocomposed copy prepared by the author using Wordperfect 7.0.

987654321

ISBN 978-1-4612-7300-4

Contents

Foreword	vii
Preface	ix
Acknowledgments	xiii
List of Figures	xix
List of Tables	xxi
1 The Search for Causes: An Overview	1
2 The Controlled Randomized Experiment	5
2.1 A nearly perfect experiment	6
2.2 Eliminating bias in selecting subjects	8
2.3 Limits to experimentation	10
2.4 The half-a-loaf experiment	12
2.5 Simulation	14
2.6 Limits to extrapolation	21
Critical questions	
Bibliography	25
3 Inferring Causes from Observational Studies	27
3.1 Diphtheria antitoxin	
3.2 The Connecticut crackdown on speeders	
3.3 Capital punishment in Florida	
3.4 Polio vaccines	
3.5 Police intervention and domestic violence	
3.6 No-fault divorce	
3.7 Statistical "control" for known confounders	
3.8 Summary	
Critical questions	
Bibliography	
4 Epidemiologic Studies	45
4.1 Types of studies	
4.2 Agent Orange	
4.2 React implants	

xvi	Contents

4.4 Tobacco smoke 4.5 Asbestos 4.6 Bendectin 4.7 Electromagnetic fields 4.8 Summary Bibliography	
5 Summing Up: Replication and Triangulation	69
5.1 Estimating socially significant numbers	70
5.2 Triangulations in the census	71
5.3 Unanimity and hung juries	74
5.4 Opposition to the death penalty and	
the propensity to vote guilty	75
5.5 Sentence variation from judge to judge	76
Bibliography	77
6 Coincidence and Significance	79
6.1 P-values	80
6.2 Significance	85
6.3 Power	88
6.4 One-tailed and two-tailed tests	89
6.5 Multiple testing	92
6.6 Interval estimates	94
6.7 Other hypotheses	96
6.8 Posterior probabilities	97
Critical questions	99
Bibliography	100
1 8	101
1	101
1 8	103
	105
8 T	107
L L	108
<i>y</i> 1	110
	116
	117
	118
Critical questions	119

8.1 A study of the House Un-American Activities Committee 122 8.2 Pretrial publicity 128 8.3 The Federalist Papers 132 Bibliography 134 9 Surveys and Change of Venue 135 9.1 History of survey acceptance 136 9.2 Change of venue law 138 9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley 141 9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144	Contents	xvii
8.1 A study of the House Un-American Activities Committee 122 8.2 Pretrial publicity 128 8.3 The Federalist Papers 132 Bibliography 134 9 Surveys and Change of Venue 135 9.1 History of survey acceptance 136 9.2 Change of venue law 138 9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley 141 9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167	Bibliography	119
Activities Committee 122 8.2 Pretrial publicity 128 8.3 The Federalist Papers 132 Bibliography 134 9 Surveys and Change of Venue 135 9.1 History of survey acceptance 136 9.2 Change of venue law 138 9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley 141 9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggran	8 Content Analysis	121
8.2 Pretrial publicity 128 8.3 The Federalist Papers 132 Bibliography 134 9 Surveys and Change of Venue 135 9.1 History of survey acceptance 136 9.2 Change of venue law 138 9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley 141 9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary </td <td></td> <td></td>		
8.3 The Federalist Papers 132 Bibliography 134 9 Surveys and Change of Venue 135 9.1 History of survey acceptance 136 9.2 Change of venue law 138 9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley 141 9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection		
Bibliography 134 9 Surveys and Change of Venue 135 9.1 History of survey acceptance 136 9.2 Change of venue law 138 9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley 141 9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selectio		_
9 Surveys and Change of Venue 135 9.1 History of survey acceptance 136 9.2 Change of venue law 138 9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley 141 9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175		
9.1 History of survey acceptance 136 9.2 Change of venue law 138 9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley 141 9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	Bibliography	134
9.2 Change of venue law 138 9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley 141 9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	9 Surveys and Change of Venue	135
9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley 141 9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	9.1 History of survey acceptance	136
9.4 The Pontiac prison cases 142 9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	9.2 Change of venue law	138
9.5 Civil litigation 143 9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	9.3 Mitsubishi in Silicon Valley	141
9.6 The limits of voir dire 144 Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	9.4 The Pontiac prison cases	142
Bibliography 146 10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	9.5 Civil litigation	143
10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness 147 10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	9.6 The limits of voir dire	144
10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	Bibliography	146
10.1 The Thermos surveys 148 10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	10 Trademark Surveys: Genericness	147
10.2 The Teflon surveys 150 10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175		
10.3 Variations of the Teflon survey 152 Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	•	150
Bibliography 153 11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion 155 11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175		
11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175		153
11.1 Realism 156 11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	11 Trademark Surveys: Confusion	155
11.2 How close a look? 158 11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175		
11.3 Who puts out this design? 162 11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175		
11.4 Altering the specimen 164 11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175		
11.5 Controlling for "top of mind" responses 165 11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175		164
11.6 Anticipating market entry 166 11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175		165
11.7 Addressing the relevant issue 167 11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175		166
11.8 Depressors and aggrandizers 170 11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	11.7 Addressing the relevant issue	167
11.9 Summary 172 Bibliography 174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175		
174 12 The Jury: Composition and Selection 175 12.1 Jury size 175	11.9 Summary	
12.1 Jury size		
12.1 Jury size	12 The Jury: Composition and Selection	175
12.2 Selecting the jury venire		
	12.2 Selecting the jury venire	

xviii	Conte	nts
12.3 Selecting from the venire	1	84
12.4 Juror selection surveys	1	91
Bibliography		
13 DNA Profiling: Probabilities and Proof	1	99
13.1 VNTR profiling	2	200
13.2 Match windows	2	204
13.3 Match probabilities and the basic product rule	2	206
13.4 Objections to the basic product rule	2	212
13.5 Ceiling frequencies	2	214
13.6 Uniqueness	2	216
13.7 Random match probabilities and prejudice	2	217
13.8 Beyond matching and binning	2	220
Bibliography	2	223
Notes	2	225

321 347

351

Glossary List of Cases

Index

List of Tables

- 2.1. Effect of Random Assignment of Texas Convicts to Treatment and Control Groups
- 2.2. Percentage of Re-arrests in Georgia Within One Year
- 2.3. Jury's Pre-Deliberation Verdict by Type of Crime and Type of Instruction (Percent Voting "Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity")
- 3.1. Polio Incidence in Treatment and Control Groups (per 100,000)
- 3.2. Demographic Profile of Three Contiguous States (1960 data)
- 4.1. Death Rates of Ranch Hands and of a Comparison Group of Air Force Personnel not Exposed to Agent Orange
- 4.2. Incidence of Connective-Tissue Disease in Women with Breast Implants and Women Without Implants (Nurses Study)
- 4.3. Incidence of Smoking in Men Who Had Lung Cancer (Cases) and in Men Who Had Other Diseases (Controls)
- 4.3A. Incidence of Very Heavy Smoking in Men Who Had Lung Cancer (Cases) and in Men Who Had Other Diseases (Controls)
- 4.4. Risks of Lung Cancer Associated with Asbestos Exposure and Smoking
- 5.1. Last Vote at Which Juries Were Hung
- 6.1. Hypothetical Data for Control and Treatment Groups of Size 1,000. (The P-value is 0.54.)
- 6.2. Hypothetical Data for Control and Treatment Groups of Size 10,000. (The P-value is 0.05.)

xxii List of Tables

6.3. Hypothetical Data for Control and Treatment Groups of Size 40,000.(The P-value is 0.0001.)

- 6.4. Hiring Data and Census Figures in *Hazelwood* for Teachers Hired During 1972-1974
- 7.1. Gallup Polls and Outcomes of Presidential Elections
- 7.2. Stationery Orders by Size of Order
- 7.3. Place of the Customers' Residence
- 7.4 Number of Trials Reported per Judge
- 8.1. Type of Action Involved in the Misdeeds Alleged in the Committee's Question
- 8.2. Percent and Number of Misdeed Questions Asked After the First Refusal
- 8.3. Average Number of Misdeed Questions Asked of a Witness Before or After the First Refusal
- 8.4. The 125 Items of Pretrial Publicity Judged to be Most Harmful to Ford's Prospects
- 10.1. Results of the Teflon Test
- 11.1. Percent Who Named McDonald's in Survey with Warm-up Question and in Survey Without Warm-up Question
- 12.1. The Varieties of Civil Juries in the Federal Courts and State Courts of Unlimited Jurisdiction
- 12.2. Percent "Good" Jurors (hypothetical data)
- 13.1. Expected Single-Locus Genotype Proportions in Gametes Formed by Random Mating

List of Tables xxiii

13.2. The Formulae for Computing a Multilocus Genotype Frequency (P) from Allele Frequencies (p) for a Population in Hardy-Weinberg and Linkage Equilibrium

- 13.3. The Formulae for Computing a Multilocus Genotype Frequency (P) from Allele Frequencies (p) for a Population in Hardy-Weinberg and Linkage Equilibrium, Using 2p Rather than p^2 for Apparent Homozygotes
- 13.4. VNTR Allele Frequencies at Four Loci by Race
- 13.5. Single- and Multilocus Genotype Frequencies Estimated with the Basic Product Rule
- 13.6. Interim-Ceiling Frequencies at Each Locus and Their Product